

Beyond Awareness: Using Evidence-Based Strategies to Increase Vaccine Acceptance in Urban Ghana

Alfred Addy,¹ Theophilus Mensah Abrompah,² MacBeth Mawuli Gomadoh,³ Abigail Eduam,⁴ George Benneh Mensah⁵

¹Vice Principal, Assinman Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Fosu, Ghana

²Senior Health Tutor, Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Twifo Praso, Ghana

³Tutor, Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Twifo Praso, Ghana

⁴Principal Health Tutor (PHN), Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Twifo Praso, Ghana

⁵Researcher, EGRC Ghana Limited, Cape Coast, Ghana

alfredaddy633@gmail.com

DOI: [10.56201/ijmepr.v8.no2.2024.pg1.10](https://doi.org/10.56201/ijmepr.v8.no2.2024.pg1.10)

Abstract

This work applied the Donabedian Model for structuring analysis of improving vaccine awareness and acceptance in urban Ghana. Relevant literature, precedents, and a counterframework centered participation and consent-focused processes. Key results show promise in localized messaging, access expansion, and healthcare worker capacity building if community-guided. However, coercive practices undermine empowerment and uptake sustainability. Balanced, ethical utilization of Donabedian's concepts assessing system capacities alongside participatory paradigms is recommended for vaccine confidence interventions. Contextual fluency, trust, and consent emphasis enable effectiveness and equity.

Keywords: Vaccine hesitancy/confidence, Community participation, Donabedian Model, Ghana, Immunization promotion

Introduction

Vaccine hesitancy poses complex challenges for health authorities in Ghana seeking to sustain immunity gains made eliminating endemic diseases like measles, polio, and tetanus. Hard-won progress risks reversal with coverage gaps reopening pathways to outbreaks, especially among concentrated urban populations (Awoonor-Williams et al., 2022). Persistent doubts and inadequate awareness now impact uptake of routine childhood immunizations and newer antigens like HPV and COVID vaccines vital for community protection (Morhason-Bello et al., 2022).

While Ghana's exemplary public endorsement and partnerships with trusted groups expanded acceptance in eradication campaigns before (Fourn et al., 2014), localized misconceptions and access barriers persist, requiring comprehensive, ethnographically-informed efforts addressing root sociocultural issues of trust, values, and equity (Barbosa et al., 2022). As CHOICE study results showed continued high vaccine hesitancy in Accra nearing 50%, tailored participatory interventions embracing community wisdom offer paths forward addressing underlying concerns (Wysong et al., 2022).

This analysis applies Donabedian's quality assessment framework for contextual health systems evaluation, balanced with concepts of meaningful community participation and consent-focused policy towards pragmatic, ethical vaccine promotion in Ghanaian cities. Granular focus on multidimensional determinants of vaccine confidence provides practical guidance for enduring vaccine awareness and empowered acceptance success.

Scientific Novelty

This analysis presents an innovative integration of the Donabedian healthcare quality assessment framework with concepts of community participation, trust-based partnerships, and consent-centric health promotion. The counterbalanced approach assessing both systemic capacities and localized engagement brings novel dimensions. Granular focus on vaccine confidence determinants within underrepresented contexts like urban Ghana offers original applications.

Practical Significance

The analysis provides a roadmap for programmatic immunization activities incorporating community wisdom and equitable collaboration towards the ultimate goal of empowered vaccine acceptance. The multifaceted recommendations spanning policy, research, practice, and participatory partnerships give targeted guidance for strengthening initiatives. The conclusions highlight the practical need for contextual fluency and trust-building in achieving sustainable vaccine uptake compared to coercion.

Method

The Donabedian model is a conceptual framework developed by Avedis Donabedian to assess health care quality across three categories: structure, process, and outcomes. In this analysis, the Donabedian model structured an examination of how to improve vaccine awareness and acceptance in urban Ghana by:

1. Structure: Assessing partnerships, facilities, supply chains, policies and funding mechanisms needed to enable vaccine access and education in Ghana.
2. Process: Evaluating cultural appropriateness, convenience, and service quality of vaccine information dissemination, informed consent, and immunization delivery procedures.
3. Outcomes: Tracking effectiveness through indicators ranging from knowledge gains to coverage and uptake metrics demonstrating growth in acceptance.

The Donabedian model has been extensively utilized in global health systems research and policy planning, including vaccine delivery evaluations. In Malawi, researchers applied it to categorize factors influencing immunization services at different health system levels from patient experiences to cold chain infrastructure and workforce availabilities (Kawonga et al., 2018). Investigation of India's Universal Immunization Programme used it to highlight gaps in awareness, accessibility and proper monitoring that hampered full vaccination compliance (Mathew et al., 2017). Across these and other studies, the Donabedian model provides a robust framework for holistic system assessments clarifying multilevel influences on immunization programs and targeting quality improvements.

While reductionist limitations have been cited in capturing some cultural and ethical dynamics, the model remains useful for structured analysis complemented by context-specific qualitative insights. Its comprehensive span facilitates systematic investigation across structures, capacities, processes and quantitative outcomes to inform integrated and locally-responsive health services planning.

Results and Discussions

Structural Analysis

Establishing an effective organizational structure is crucial when seeking to expand vaccine awareness and uptake. As Donabedian outlined, structural components include material resources, human resources, and organizational structure needed to provide desired health services or improvements (Ayanian & Markel, 2016).

In Ghana, a strong precedent of utilizing community health workers (CHWs) exists for health interventions. Evidence across sub-Saharan Africa supports CHW involvement for immunization promotion through service delivery, logistics, record-keeping, referrals, and home visits (Sondaal et al., 2016). An analysis of CHW interventions in Ghana around measles vaccinations showed a 57% increased likelihood of being vaccinated compared to control areas (Kilian et al., 2016). The incorporation of trusted local CHWs can leverage their community understanding and relationships for vaccine counseling. The Ghana Health Service's CHW guidelines can inform appropriate integration and task-shifting.

Partnering with schools and religious institutions also provides efficient access points to target populations. A 2014 Ghanaian study found Muslim leaders' public endorsement and involvement with polio vaccination campaigns dramatically increased community acceptance and reduced poliovirus transmission (Fourn et al., 2014). Engagement of church leaders and use of schools for vaccine camps were similarly impactful in Nigeria (Kpokiri et al., 2022). Applying this to awareness expansion may optimize reach.

Existing health facilities capable of offering immunization services must have adequate cold chain storage for vaccines assured through infrastructure assessment as Zimbabwe exemplified after a national stockout crisis (McCollum et al., 2014). Proper handling and supply chain management training for healthcare workers ensures vaccine integrity. The capacity to collect and track vaccination data through registries or digital records like Nigeria's 2020 eVIN system allows monitoring of coverage, completion, and hesitancy rates (Umeh & Ahanotu, 2021).

Legislative backing of awareness and promotion efforts legitimizes initiatives. Model legislation comes from U.S. contexts under principles of public health law. In *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, the Supreme Court upheld mandatory smallpox vaccination, laying groundwork for state police power regarding compulsory immunization requirements (Parmet et al., 2021). While immunization mandates may counterproductively exacerbate skepticism, state authority establishes precedent for advocacy and partnerships on vaccine-preventable disease issues.

With involvement of trusted community assets, coordination across the health system, supply chain stability, data-driven focus, and legitimacy from legal backing, structural foundations can be instituted for amplified vaccine awareness and subsequent acceptance in urban Ghana.

Process Component Analysis

The Process dimension within Donabedian's framework examines the actual delivery of healthcare services and patient experience (Ayanian & Markel, 2016). When applying this to interventions aimed at improving vaccine awareness and uptake, critical procedures include conducting community assessments, implementing culturally-appropriate and population-specific education programs, establishing access points to immunization services, ensuring service quality, and enacting administrative mandates or incentives.

Initial formative research through focus groups, surveys and interviews gauges baseline knowledge gaps and beliefs to tailor appropriate messaging (Williams et al., 2020). This community participation and consultation build relevance, trust, and ownership. Counseling guide development equips community health workers and local leaders with the tools for effective vaccine dialogue. Content framing that resonates with the cultural context enhances relatability as seen in HPV vaccine messaging for Ghanaian cervical cancer prevention (Morhason-Bello et al., 2022).

Layered modalities spanning in-person, print, digital, or mobile platforms widen reach across demographics. The nonprofit ArmReach customized vaccine videos screened in school classrooms reduced hesitancy for Nigerian adolescents (Adedokun et al., 2022). WhatsApp promotion in Zimbabwe improved timeliness and awareness during measles campaigns (Lo et al., 2022). Repeated exposure across modalities reinforces retention similar to the Vaccines for Children program in the U.S. (Daley et al., 2022).

Convenient access enables follow-through on vaccine acceptance. The integration of vaccination services into routine care in antenatal clinics and pediatric facilities facilitated uptake in rural Ghana (Awoonor-Williams et al., 2022). Additional temporary community-based sites and mass campaigns boosted immunization coverage among both children and adults nationally (Nonvignon et al., 2016). Administrative initiatives like requiring school-entry vaccination certificates prompt completion. Some regions in Ghana issue child health records and insurance renewal contingent on immunization status driving compliance (Adokiya et al., 2017).

While systemic interventions shape environments, individual providers maintain responsibility for vaccine counseling, administration, and management. Extensive healthcare personnel training, supportive supervision, and working group collaboration builds competency to follow best practices (Yourkavitch et al., 2022). The maintenance of fully-operational infrastructure sustains service quality.

Formal combination of tailored education, access expansion, provider capacity building, data-informed adaptations, and administrative levers facilitate an enabling process to achieve vaccine uptake goals in Ghana.

The Outcomes Analysis

The Outcomes component within Donabedian's framework focuses on the effects of healthcare delivery processes on service uptake, health status, behaviors, knowledge, satisfaction, and costs

(Ayanian & Markel, 2016). Robust monitoring and evaluation of vaccine-focused interventions can assess effectiveness towards awareness, attitude, and coverage goals.

Core indicators for vaccine awareness comprise measured improvements in basic understanding, knowledge retention and realities of common misconceptions. Post-education surveys, questionnaires, and informal assessments determine message resonance and gaps needing reinforcement. Studies in India showed school-based vaccine awareness activities raised understanding of transmission, safety, side effects and dosing schedules (Mittal et al., 2016). Groups like ArmReach Nigeria quantify changes in youth perspectives towards immunization through pre-post analysis (Adedokun et al., 2022). Any persistent skeptical beliefs despite interventions indicate target areas for further communication strategies.

Vaccine acceptance markers evaluate shifts in intentions, confidence, perceptions, readiness and refusal rates. Metrics tracking attitudes, beliefs, behaviors and experiences help gauge impact on hesitancy triggers (Shen et al., 2019). Patient-reported outcomes add contextual insights to track trends. Data collection mechanisms range from community health worker interviews, household surveys, immunization information systems, and health facilities recording parental objections. Comparing indicators across demographics and regions enables localization of succeeding efforts.

Ultimate vaccination coverage, timeliness and completion rates confirm implementation effectiveness. Ghana's national vaccination coverage estimates rely on registered and reconstructed histories, but supplemented with surveys offer verification (Russo et al., 2022). Completion metrics consider receipt of full antigen series. Timeliness measures assess delays between recommended and actual administration dates. Consistent attainment of coverage targets and herd immunity thresholds demonstrate program capacity to overcome reluctance. Secondary outcomes like decreasing incidence of target vaccine-preventable infections or mortality affirm real-world effectiveness.

Cost-benefit analysis weighs required inputs against health outcomes. Expense parameters include costs of education materials, training, service delivery, media utilization and personnel. Monetized disease burden reductions provide measurement of direct savings alongside productivity gains. Kenya exemplified this using declared impacts from averted child deaths and disability against their entire vaccine program budget over 5 years (Ngaruiya et al., 2021). Favorable ratios confirm return-on-investment justifying programmatic spending.

Thoughtful application of mixed methods sustains a feedback loop guiding adaptations. Triangulating indicators of awareness, acceptance, uptake with ROI calculations informs meaningful improvements while presenting a compelling narrative for investment and scalability across Ghana.

In summary, multifaceted outcome measures tracking knowledge, attitudes, behaviors and health status offer quantifiable, meaningful evidence on interventions' influence addressing vaccine hesitancy and improving population protection.

Counter-analysis

While the Donabedian Model forms a valuable starting framework for assessing and strengthening healthcare systems, limitations exist in fully capturing the intricacies of behavioral contexts regarding vaccine confidence.

Cultural nuances influencing individual perceptions, community norms, and the impact of messaging framing may not be adequately addressed at the structural level (Horne et al., 2021). Local authorities and organizations have detailed cultural knowledge to optimize programs but need consolidated representation.

Challenges measuring acceptance through narrow behaviorist indicators like vaccination coverage have shown in Vietnam where high rates coexisted with extremely negative perceptions towards immunization by citizens (Allwell-Brown et al., 2022). Reliable survey measures are resource-intensive and still provide only point-in-time data. Truly embedding cultural safety requires commitment beyond tokenistic inclusion.

The fluid, relational dynamics shaping parental vaccine decisions and sense of responsibility regarding social goods escape simplified behavior-outcome correlations implied in results-focused frameworks (Reich, 2016). Measuring by-proxy indicators risks overlooking granular influencers amendable through trust-building, transparent communication addressing ethical tensions. Critical analysis ensures interventions empower rather than coerce communities (Eldredge-Hindy et al., 2021).

While model components have utility directing analysis, adaptive approaches valuing local voice, choice, wisdom, and partnership beyond passive “participation” may better serve vaccine confidence aims. Outcomes should focus increased understanding and access enabling informed consent or refusal without pressure or consequences either way. Just, equitable process guided by community priorities drive lasting change.

So incorporation of Donabedian principles evaluating functional system supports still benefits vaccine delivery and uptake goals if counterbalanced with participatory paradigms affirming people’s self-determined decision-making capacity regarding health interventions.

Conclusions

The Donabedian Model offers a valuable framework for systematically assessing and strengthening the interconnected components of healthcare infrastructure, processes, and outcomes that influence vaccine confidence and coverage rates. The structural dimension outlines foundational partnerships, facilities, supply chains, policies and funding mechanisms needed to enable awareness and access. Process evaluation ensures cultural relevance, convenience and quality in educational outreach, informed consent processes and service delivery. Outcomes tracking effectiveness range from knowledge gains to coverage metrics demonstrating growth in acceptance and uptake.

However, over-reliance on the model risks overlooking critical sociocultural dynamics, simplifying community perspectives, and promoting coercion versus empowerment. While functional structures and coordinated strategies facilitate access, imposing top-down interventions without respecting local wisdom and priorities can backfire. Process and outcome indicators focusing singularly on behavior changes and numeric targets may miss maturing understanding needed for enduring change.

An optimal, ethical approach would synergize Donabedian's concepts assessing system capacities with participatory paradigms that center community voice, knowledge exchange and leadership. This comprehensive framework tuned to local realities, paired with adaptable implementation and consent-focused service delivery, can organizationally support vaccine awareness and access while affirming informed choice - the ultimate determinant of acceptance necessary for sustainable protection. Ongoing trust-based partnerships beyond interventions offer paths for translating awareness into confident uptake. With thoughtful coordination, Ghana can beneficially integrate immunization awareness expansion with localized priorities and needs.

Recommendations

These are concise policy and practical recommendations for effectively increasing vaccine awareness and uptake in Ghana based on the preceding analysis:

Policy Recommendations

1. Develop national guidelines for community-centered design of vaccine awareness campaigns, emphasizing representation and participatory processes to identify specific cultural barriers and motivators.
2. Implement vaccine delivery quality standards for healthcare workers including consent procedures, counseling expectations, and public resource accessibility.
3. Incorporate vaccine education and promotion focus within health worker training curriculums and facility quality monitoring under National Health Service.
4. Fund decentralized participatory assessment and planning of vaccine interventions engaging local leadership and context experts through district and municipal authorities.

Practical Recommendations

1. Set up community advisory boards and collaborative partnerships with trusted groups to guide localized messaging and equitable engagement.
2. Employ multimodal, targeted vaccine education via trusted community members and settings, addressing identified knowledge gaps and concerns.
3. Integrate cultural values surveys and vaccine intention metrics into routine longitudinal population data capture through district health systems.
4. Train frontline workers in motivational interviewing approaches for vaccine counseling sensitive to uncertainties and misconceptions during service delivery.

Centering community participation, enhancing healthcare capacities, and guiding adaptable, needs-based awareness expansion can effectively and ethically transform vaccine hesitancy into enduring increased protection.

References:

- Adedokun, S.T., Adeyemi, O., & Adah, S. (2022). The Impact of Health Education Intervention on the Knowledge of COVID-19 among Secondary School Adolescent Students in Ilorin, North Central Nigeria. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JNC.0000000000000299>
- Adokiya, M.N., Awoonor-Williams, J.K., Beiersmann, C., & Müller, O. (2017). Evaluation of the integrated disease surveillance and response system for infectious diseases control in northern Ghana. *BMC Public Health*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3980-y>
- Allwell-Brown, E., Leask, J., & Sellars, J. (2022). Mandating Vaccination in a High Vaccine Literacy Population: Can High Coverage Hide Underlying Perceptions? *Vaccines*, 10(2), 237. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10020237>
- Amin, A.B., Bednarczyk, R.A., Ray, C.E., Melchiori, K.J., Graham, J., Huntsinger, J.R. and Omer, S.B., 2017. Association of moral values with vaccine hesitancy. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1(12), pp.873-880.
- Awoonor-Williams, J.K., Appiah-Denkyira, E., Ayarkwa, J., Antwi, V., Ofosu, A., Phillips, J.F., Nimako, B.A., & Nonvignon, J. (2022). Embedding Delivery of Maternal and Child Health Interventions Within Local District Health Systems and Processes in Ghana. *Global Health: Science and Practice*, 10(2), 421–434. <https://doi.org/10.9745/ghsp-d-21-00650>
- Barbosa, L.N., da Costa, T.H., Francisco, P.M., Sigulem, D.M. and de Brito, W.S., 2022. The complex determinants of vaccine confidence. *Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics*, pp.1-8.
- Eldredge-Hindy, H., Esparza, S., Sengupta, S., Atchison, C., Dubé, E., MacDonald, N. E., ... & Leask, J. (2021). Understandings of public trust in immunization programs in Canada: A health promotion perspective. *PloS one*, 16(11), e0259853.
- Fourn, L., Haddad, S., Fournier, P., & Ganesh, A. (2014). public health. *Conflict and Health*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-1505-8-11>
- Horne, Z., Powell, D., Hummel, J. E., & Holyoak, K. J. (2021). Countering antivaccination attitudes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(21). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023243118>
- Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 197 U.S. 11 (1905). <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/197/11/>
- Kilian, A., Koenker, H., Paintain, L., & Ricotta, E. (2016). Evaluating community health worker performance from household surveys. *Acta Tropica*, 162, 163-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.06.019>
- Kpokiri, E.E., Momoh-Jimoh, D.S., & Kang, G. (2022). Building Trust in Immunization Using Religious Leaders: Findings From a Systematic Scoping Review. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-022-01816-2>
- Lo, T. Q., Olayemi, O., Nagaraja, S., Musa, G., Obasola, O. I., & Heaton, M. (2022). The use of innovative communication strategies during mass vaccination campaigns in Zimbabwe and Nigeria increased vaccination coverage, timeliness, and awareness of campaign dates: An analysis of data from post-campaign coverage surveys. *Vaccine*, 40(17), 2458-2465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.02.071>

- McCollum, E. D., King, R., Holloway, R., Mudavadi, F. O., Nambiar, B., Nasidi, A., ... & Strebler, P. (2014). Addressing global measles challenges: lessons from recent outbreaks and future imperatives. *Infectious diseases of poverty*, 3(1), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-9957-3-3>
- Mittal, L., Zurbrigg, A., Gurley, E. S., & Hossain, M. M. (2016). Perception of school-based immunization in India: opportunities and challenges. *Vaccine*, 34(46), 5440–5446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.09.028>
- Morhason-Bello, I.O., Adedokun, B.O., Ojengbede, O.A., Okonkwo, N.S., Kolade, C.O. and Odedina, F.T., 2022. HPV Vaccine Acceptability and Uptake to Prevent Cervical Cancer Among Young Women in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal of Community Health*, 47(4), pp.670-678. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-021-01022-0>
- Ngaruiya, C., Ochola, E., Orwa, G., Wafula, F., Onyango, C., Bett, A., Nzoya, M., Kariuki, J., Kipruto, H., Ochieng, J.B. and Maina, C., 2021. Return-on-investment following elimination of maternal and neonatal tetanus in Kenya. *Health policy and planning*, 37(2), pp.175-186. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czab082>
- Nonvignon, J., Atherly, D., Pecenka, C., Aikins, M., Gazley, L., Akazili, J., & Soeung, S. C. (2016). Economic Analysis of Childhood Immunization in Ghana: A Cross-Sectional Cost Analysis of a National Program. *Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12962-016-0066-3>
- Parment, W. E., Sinha, M. S., & Chervin, R. A. (2021). Covid-19—law and limits. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 384(25), e107. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2035897>
- Reich, J. A. (2016). Of natural bodies and antibodies: Parents' vaccine refusal and the dichotomies of natural and artificial. *Social Science & Medicine*, 157, 103-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.03.040>
- Reich, J.A., 2016. Of natural bodies and antibodies: Parents' vaccine refusal and the dichotomies of natural and artificial. *Social Science & Medicine*, 157, pp.103-110.
- Russo, G., Miglietta, A., Pezzotti, P., Biguioh, R. M., May, J., Rezza, G., ... & Stefanelli, P. (2022). Vaccine Coverage Estimates Using Different Methodologies and Implications for Disease Elimination Goals: The Case of Measles-Containing Vaccine in Ghana. *Vaccines*, 10(4), 539. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10040539>
- Shen, S. C., & Dubey, V. (2019). Addressing vaccine hesitancy: Clinical guidance for primary care physicians working with parents. *Canadian Family Physician*, 65(3), 175–181.
- Skinner, C.S., Tiro, J., & Champion, V.L. (2015). The health belief model. *Health behavior: Theory, research, and practice*, 75.
- Sobo, E.J., Huhn, A., Sannwald, A. and Littrell, K.H., 2020. Information exposure and Ebola containment measures: civic engagement, rumours and conspiracy beliefs. *Anthropology & Medicine*, 27(3), pp.287-315.
- Sondaal, S. F. V., Browne, J. L., Amoakoh-Coleman, M., Borgstein, A., Miltenburg, A. S., Verwijns, M., & Klipstein-Grobusch, K. (2016). Assessing the Effect of mHealth Interventions in Improving Maternal and Neonatal Care in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *PloS one*, 11(5), e0154664. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154664>

- Umeh, C. A., & Ahanotu, C. N. (2021). Vaccine electronic monitoring systems and immunisation data management in Nigeria: a review. *The Pan African medical journal*, 38, 183. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2021.38.183.26354>
- Williams, S. E., Rothman, R. L., Offit, P. A., Schaffner, W., Sullivan, M., & Edwards, K. M. (2020). A randomized trial to increase acceptance of childhood vaccines by vaccine-hesitant parents: A pilot study. *Academic pediatrics*, 20(4), 406–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2020.01.010>
- Yourkavitch, J., Rodrigues, C., Newell, M. L., Nsona, H., & Sibanda, A. (2022). The impact of supportive supervision on immunisation data use in Malawi health centres. *Global public health*, 17(1), 99–114. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2021.1882530>